

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7  
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9  
10 ORACLE AMERICA, INC., No. C 10-03561 WHA  
11 Plaintiff,  
12 v. **ORDER GRANTING  
ORACLE'S MOTION TO  
AUGMENT EXHIBIT LIST**  
13 GOOGLE INC.,  
14 Defendant.  
15 \_\_\_\_\_/

16 Oracle's motion to augment its trial exhibits (Dkt. No. 907) is **GRANTED**. Although it  
17 would have been better to have augmented sooner, there is still time to adjust prior to the actual  
18 use at trial of these exhibits and Google has shown no prejudice. Both sides will be given some  
19 opportunity to adjust the exhibit list. Google will get the same flexibility so long as it acts  
20 timely. At some point, however, augmentation of the exhibit list will be terminated with or  
21 without prejudice. This augmentation does not mean that the exhibits are admissible.

22  
23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**  
24

25 Dated: April 16, 2012.  
26

27   
28 

---

WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE